

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: SOCLEAN, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)	
)	Master Docket No. 22-mc-152
)	
This Document Relates to: All Actions)	MDL No. 3021
)	
)	
)	

ORDER OF COURT

This order memorializes the rulings made, and more fully explained, on the record by the court on September 13, 2023:

- a. Plaintiffs’ partial motion to strike So Clean’s affirmative defenses (ECF No. 418), was GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. The motion was granted with respect to So Clean’s second affirmative defense (Standing/Injury in fact/Causation and/or Redressibility), without prejudice to So Clean’s ability to seek leave to amend; and was denied in all other respects. Plaintiffs may serve interrogatories (which shall not count against their limit) to clarify the scope of the affirmative defenses being asserted.

- b. Plaintiffs’ objections (ECF No. 428) to the special master’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (ECF No. 417) on So Clean’s financial documents were DENIED. The R&R was adopted as the opinion of the court. The order is

without prejudice to Plaintiffs' ability, based upon their expert's specific need for the ledgers, to assert a request for the general ledgers at a later date.

All as more fully stated on the record.

So Ordered this 26th day of September, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joy Flowers Conti
Joy Flowers Conti
Senior United States District Court Judge