

## **LR 16.2 ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

**A. Application.** LR 16.2 shall govern actions as the Board of Judges shall determine, from time to time, commenced on or after that date, with the exception of Social Security cases and cases in which a prisoner is a party.

**B. Purpose.** The Court recognizes that full, formal litigation of claims can impose large economic burdens on parties and can delay resolution of disputes for considerable periods. The Court also recognizes that an alternative dispute resolution (“ADR”) procedure can improve the quality of justice by improving the parties’ understanding of their case and their satisfaction with the process and the result. The Court adopts LR 16.2 to make available to litigants a broad range of court-sponsored ADR processes to provide quicker, less expensive and potentially more satisfying alternatives to continuing litigation without impairing the quality of justice or the right to trial. The Court offers diverse ADR services to enable parties to pursue the ADR process that promises to deliver the greatest benefits to their particular case. In administering LR 16.2 and the ADR program, the Court will take appropriate steps to assure that no referral to ADR results in an unfair or unreasonable economic burden on any party.

**C. ADR Options.** The Court-sponsored ADR options for cases include:

1. Mediation
2. Early Neutral Evaluation
3. Arbitration

**D. ADR Designation.** At the F.R.Civ.P. Rule 26(f) “meet and confer” conference, the parties are required to discuss and, if possible, stipulate to an ADR process for that case. The F.R.Civ.P. Rule 26(f) written report shall (1) designate the specific ADR process that the parties have selected, (2) specify the time frame within which the ADR process will be completed, and (3) set forth any other information the parties would like the Court to know regarding their ADR designation. The parties shall use the form provided by the Court. When litigants have not stipulated to an ADR process before the Scheduling Conference contemplated by LR 16.1, the assigned Judicial Officer will discuss the ADR options with counsel and unrepresented parties at that conference. If the parties cannot agree on a process before the end of the Scheduling Conference, the Judicial Officer will make an appropriate determination and/or selection for the parties.

**E. ADR Practices and Procedures.** The ADR process is governed by the ADR Policies and Procedures, as adopted by the Board of Judges for the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, which sets forth specific and more detailed information regarding the ADR process, and which can be accessed either on the Court’s official website, [www.pawd.uscourts.gov](http://www.pawd.uscourts.gov), or from the office of the Clerk of Court.